

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
SAVANNAH DIVISION

NASH N. TUTEN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CASE NO. CV422-111
	)	
SHALENA COOK JONES; ANTHONY	)	
BURTOW; THE STATE OF GEORGIA;	)	
DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE, In	)	
their Official Capacities,	)	
Individual Capacities,	)	
Professional Capacities,	)	
Private Capacities, Public	)	
Capacities, and Work	)	
Capacities; PUBLIC DEFENDER'S	)	
OFFICE; CITY OF SAVANNAH;	)	
SAVANNAH POLICE DEPARTMENT;	)	
CHATHAM COUNTY SHERIFF	)	
OFFICERS; KAITLYN BECK;	)	
DETECTIVE J. GAUSE; OFFICER	)	
SKAFF; OFFICER TAYLOR; OFFICER	)	
BELFRUNE; OFFICER GEISLER; and	)	
CPT SEANZ;	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

**O R D E R**


Before the Court is the Magistrate Judge's July 7, 2022, Report and Recommendation (Doc. 4), to which Plaintiff has not filed objections. After a careful review of the record,<sup>1</sup> the Report

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court reviews de novo a magistrate judge's findings to which a party objects, and the Court reviews for clear error the portions of a report and recommendation to which a party does not object. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see Merchant v. Nationwide Recovery Serv., Inc., 440 F. Supp. 3d 1369, 1371 (N.D. Ga. 2020) (outlining the standard of review for report and recommendations (citing Macort

and Recommendation (Doc. 4) is **ADOPTED** as the Court's opinion in this case. As a result, Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this case.

SO ORDERED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of July 2022.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM T. MOORE, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

\_\_\_\_\_  
v. Prem, Inc., 208 F. App'x 781, 784 (11th Cir. 2006) (per curiam)).